arXiv:math/0611491v1 [math.CV] 16 Nov 2006

STRATIFICATIONS WITH RESPECT TO ACTIONS OF REAL REDUCTIVE GROUPS

PETER HEINZNER, GERALD W. SCHWARZ, AND HENRIK STÖTZEL

Abstract. We study the action of a real reductive group G on a real submanifold X of a Kähler manifold Z. We suppose that the action of G extends holomorphically to an action of the complexified group $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ and that with respect to a compatible maximal compact subgroup U of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ the action on Z is Hamiltonian. There is a corresponding gradient map $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}\colon X \to \mathfrak{p}^*$ where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ is a Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$. We obtain a Morse like function $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}} := \frac{1}{2}\|\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}\|^2$ on X. Associated to critical points of $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are various sets of semistable points which we study in great detail. In particular, we have G-stable submanifolds S_β of X which are called pre-strata. In case that $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is proper, the pre-strata form a decomposition of X and in case that X is compact they are the strata of a Morse type stratification of X. Our results are generalizations of results of Kirwan obtained in the case that $G = U^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $X = Z$ is compact.

1. Introduction

In this paper we continue our study of actions of real reductive groups on Kähler manifolds [HSch05, HSt05]. Roughly speaking, we extend Kirwan's results [Ki84] on actions of complex reductive groups to the real reductive case. We also obtain new results in the complex reductive case.

Let G be a closed subgroup of the complex reductive group H. We say that G is real reductive if there is a maximal compact subgroup U of H such that $K \times \mathfrak{p} \to G$, $(k, \beta) \mapsto k \exp \beta$ is a diffeomorphism. Here $K := G \cap U$ is a maximal compact subgroup of G and $\mathfrak{p} := \mathfrak{g} \cap i\mathfrak{u}$ where $\mathfrak{u}$ denotes the Lie algebra of U and $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of G. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of H is the direct sum $\mathfrak{u} \oplus i\mathfrak{u}$. We also say that G is compatible with the Cartan decomposition $H = U^{\mathbb{C}} = U \exp i\mathfrak{u}$ of H. In this paper we fix U and the real reductive subgroup $G \subset H$.

Assume that H acts holomorphically on a complex Kähler manifold Z, that the Kähler form ω is U-invariant and that there is a U-equivariant moment mapping $\mu\colon Z \to \mathfrak{u}^*$. For $\xi \in \mathfrak{u}$ and $z \in Z$ we set $\mu^\xi(z) :=$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32M05.

First author is partially supported by the Sonderforschungsbereich SFB/TR12 of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

Second author is partially supported by NSA Grant H98230-06-1-0023.

Third author is supported by the Sonderforschungsbereich SFB/TR12 of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

$\langle \mu(z), \xi \rangle := \mu(z)(\xi)$. The inclusion $\mathrm{i}\mathfrak{p} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{u}$ induces by restriction a K-equivariant map $\mu_{\mathrm{i}\mathfrak{p}} \colon Z \to (\mathrm{i}\mathfrak{p})^*$. Using a U-invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{h}$ we can identify $(\mathrm{i}\mathfrak{p})^*$ and $\mathfrak{p}$, so we view $\mu_{\mathrm{i}\mathfrak{p}}$ as a map $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}} \colon Z \to \mathfrak{p}$. For $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$ let $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}$ denote $\mu^{-\mathrm{i}\beta}$. Then $\operatorname{grad} \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta} = \beta_Z$ where β_Z is the vector field on Z corresponding to β and grad is computed with respect to the Riemannian metric induced by the Kähler structure. We call $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the *G-gradient map associated with μ.*

For the rest of this paper we fix a G-stable locally closed real submanifold X of Z. We may consider $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as a mapping $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}} \colon X \to \mathfrak{p}$ such that

$$\operatorname{grad} \mu^{\beta} = \beta_X$$

where the gradient is now computed with respect to the induced Riemannian metric on X. Since X is G-stable we have $\beta_Z(z) = \beta_X(z)$ for $z \in X$. Using the inner product on $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathrm{i}\mathfrak{u}$ we define $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}(z) := \frac{1}{2}\|\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(z)\|^2$, $z \in X$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the set of critical points of $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{p}} := \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \subset \mathfrak{p}$.

A strategy for analyzing the G-action on X is to view $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as a generalized Morse function in order to obtain a smooth G-stable stratification of X and to study its properties, as follows. Let φ_t denote the flow of the vector field $\operatorname{grad} \eta_{\mathfrak{p}}$. For $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ consider the set $S'_{\beta} := \{x \in X \mid \varphi_t(x)$ has a limit point in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(K \cdot \beta)$ as t goes to $-\infty\}$. From the Morse theoretical point of view, the set S'_{β} is a candidate for a Morse-stratum associated to $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Difficulties arise, since $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is almost never smooth, the flow φ_t may not exist for all $t \le 0$ and if it exists a limit point might not be unique.

We get around these difficulties by defining the relevant sets, which we call pre-strata, in terms of semistability. Set $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}} := \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(0)$ and $\mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}) := \{z \in X : \overline{G \cdot z} \cap \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset\}$, the (open) set of semistable points in X. If $\beta = 0 \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then we define $S_{\beta} = S_0 = \mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}})$. We define S_{β} for any $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in a similar way, as follows.

For $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$ let $G^{\beta+} := \{g \in G : \lim_{t \to -\infty} \exp(t\beta)\, g \exp(-t\beta) \text{ exists}\}$. Then $G^{\beta+}$ is parabolic with Levi component the centralizer G^{β} of β. Note that $G^{\beta} = K^{\beta} \exp \mathfrak{p}^{\beta}$ is compatible with the Cartan decomposition of $U^{\mathbb{C}}$. Let X^{β} denote the set of zeros of the vector field β_X. This submanifold is stable with respect to the action of G^{β} and we have a shifted gradient map $\widehat{\mu_{\mathfrak{p}^{\beta}}} \colon X^{\beta} \to \mathfrak{p}^{\beta}$, $x \mapsto \mu_{\mathfrak{p}^{\beta}}(x) - \beta$, whose set of semistable points is $\widehat{S_0} := \{x \in X^{\beta} : \overline{G^{\beta} \cdot x} \cap \mu_{\mathfrak{p}^{\beta}}^{-1}(\beta) \neq \emptyset\}$. Set $S^{\beta+} := \{z \in X : \lim_{t \to -\infty} \exp(t\beta) \cdot z \in \widehat{S_0}\}$. Then $S^{\beta+}$ is $G^{\beta+}$-stable. We call $S_{\beta} := G \cdot S^{\beta+}$ the *pre-stratum associated with $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$*.

Let $G \times^{G^{\beta+}} S^{\beta+}$ denote the quotient of $G \times S^{\beta+}$ with respect to the $G^{\beta+}$-action which is given by $q \cdot (g, s) = (gq^{-1}, q \cdot s)$ for $g \in G$, $s \in S^{\beta+}$, $q \in G^{\beta+}$. In Section 5 we obtain our main results concerning pre-strata.

Slice Theorem. *The pre-stratum S_{β} associated with β is a locally closed submanifold of X and the natural map*

$$G \times^{G^{\beta+}} S^{\beta+} \to S_{\beta}$$

induced by the G-action on X is a diffeomorphism.

The G-action on a pre-stratum has properties similar to those of G-actions on a set of semistable points. The role of the zero fiber of the gradient map is taken over by the set $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K \cdot \beta) := \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(K \cdot \beta)$. Our second result in Section 5 is the following.

Quotient Theorem. *Let $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.*

1. *The topological Hilbert quotients (see Section 3) $S^{\beta+}/\!\!/G^{\beta}$ and $S_{\beta}/\!\!/G$ exist and are isomorphic and parameterize the closed orbits.*
2. *The inclusions $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^{\beta}}(\beta) \cap S^{\beta+} \subset S^{\beta+}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K \cdot \beta) \cap S_{\beta} \subset S_{\beta}$ induce isomorphisms $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^{\beta}}(\beta) \cap S^{\beta+})/K^{\beta} \cong S^{\beta+}/\!\!/G^{\beta}$ and $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K \cdot \beta) \cap S_{\beta})/K \cong S_{\beta}/\!\!/G$.*
3. *The set of minima of $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}|S_{\beta}$ coincides with $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K \cdot \beta) \cap S_{\beta}$.*

The content of these results can be summarized in the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^{\beta}}(\beta) \cap S^{\beta+} \arrow[rr, hook] \arrow[dl, hook'] \arrow[dr, hook] \arrow[dd] & & \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K \cdot \beta) \cap S_{\beta} \arrow[dl, hook'] \arrow[dd] \\
S^{\beta+} \arrow[rr, hook] \arrow[dd] & & S_{\beta} \arrow[dd] & \\
 & (\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^{\beta}}(\beta) \cap S^{\beta+})/K^{\beta} \arrow[rr, equal, "\sim"] \arrow[dl, equal, "\sim"'] \arrow[dr, equal, "\sim"] & & (\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K \cdot \beta) \cap S_{\beta})/K \arrow[dl, equal, "\sim"'] \\
S^{\beta+}/\!\!/G^{\beta} \arrow[rr, equal, "\sim"] & & S_{\beta}/\!\!/G &
\end{tikzcd}$$

We also show in Section 5 that a pre-stratum S_{β} only depends upon $K \cdot \beta$.

Stratification Theorem. *Let β, $\tilde{\beta} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.*

1. *If $S_{\tilde{\beta}} \cap \overline{S_{\beta}} \neq \emptyset$ and $S_{\beta} \neq S_{\tilde{\beta}}$, then $\|\tilde{\beta}\| > \|\beta\|$.*
2. *The following are equivalent.*
   - (a) *$K \cdot \beta = K \cdot \tilde{\beta}$.*
   - (b) *$S_{\beta} = S_{\tilde{\beta}}$.*
   - (c) *$S_{\beta} \cap S_{\tilde{\beta}} \neq \emptyset$.*

If $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}} \colon X \to \mathfrak{p}$ is a proper map, then we show in Section 7 that for $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the Morse-theoretical stratum S'_{β} coincides with the pre-stratum S_{β}. Moreover, we prove the following

Theorem. *If $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}} \colon X \to \mathfrak{p}$ is proper, then $X = \cup_{\beta} S_{\beta}$ where β runs through a complete set of representatives of K-orbits in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.*

In Section 8 we obtain

Theorem. *If X is compact, then there are only finitely many pre-strata.*

If $X = Z$ is compact and $G = U^{\mathbb{C}}$, then most of the above is proved in [Ki84]. This holomorphic and compact case has been studied quite intensively. For example, the strata S_β are smooth analytic subsets of Z which are open in their closure with respect to the analytic Zariski topology, there is only one open pre-stratum S_{β_0} (if X is connected) and its complement is a closed analytic subset of X. Also, the open pre-stratum S_{β_0} is connected and is minimal in the sense that β_0 is a closest point to zero in $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(X) \subset \mathfrak{p}$. Many of these results about open pre-strata hold more generally for meromorphic actions of complex reductive groups on compact Kähler manifolds (see [So75, Fu78]).

An important application noted in [Ki84] are the Morse equalities in equivariant cohomology in the holomorphic compact case. Morse inequalities also hold in our setup for general real reductive group actions on compact manifolds. We illustrate in Section 9 by simple examples that equalities are almost never valid for actions of real reductive groups.

The simplest interesting situation where our results apply and is not covered by previously known results is the real projective case. Here $X \subset \mathbb{P}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ where $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a representation space of an algebraic semisimple real group G and X is G-stable and closed. In this setup we may choose $Z = \mathbb{P}(V)$ where $V = V_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{P}(V)$ is given the Fubini-Study metric.

The special case $X = \mathbb{P}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ and G real semisimple is treated in [Ma01]. However, there is an error in the proof of Theorem 1: the assumption on the bottom of page 783 that one may assume that $k_1 = k_2 = e$ is incorrect.

Still in the projective setup we have the following result of Schützdeller [Sch06] which is new even in the case that $G = U^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let $\mathfrak{a}_+$ be a positive Weyl chamber for the K-action on $\mathfrak{p}$. Let Y be a semi-algebraic closed G-stable subset of $\mathbb{P}(V)$ which is irreducible (in a suitable sense). Then $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(Y) \cap \mathfrak{a}_+$ is a convex polytope.

The proof of this convexity result makes essential use of the stratification obtained here.

Another related interesting simple case is where $X = Z$ is U-homogeneous and G is a real form of $U^{\mathbb{C}}$. Our results applied to G and also to the complex reductive group $K^{\mathbb{C}}$ imply Matsuki duality ([Ma82]) and may be viewed as a generalization of the results in [MUV92, BL02].

Most of our results in the holomorphic non-compact case are new. In this setup G is a complex reductive group and X is a Kähler manifold. The relevant maps are holomorphic and the quotients discussed above are Kähler spaces. In particular, a pre-stratum S_β is G-equivariantly and biholomorphically identified with a holomorphic G-bundle over the compact complex manifold $G/G^{\beta+}$.

Also, in the general real case, a pre-stratum S_β fibers over the G-homogeneous compact manifold $G/G^{\beta+}$ which now is a K-homogeneous Riemannian manifold. The open pre-strata are of special interest. In many situations, including the case where $\mu_\mathfrak{p}$ has closed image, there is a minimal pre-stratum S_{β_0} in the sense that β_0 is a closest point to zero in $\mu_\mathfrak{p}(X)$. This pre-stratum is always open and usually serves as a good substitute for the set of semistable points which quite often is empty.

In principle there could be many different minimal pre-strata and also other open pre-strata which are not minimal in the above sense. We do not know any example of a connected manifold X where this is indeed the case. Any such example would imply that $\mu_\mathfrak{p}(X) \cap \mathfrak{a}_+$ is not a convex subset of $\mathfrak{a}_+$. In situations where the convexity result of Schützdeller applies, the minimal pre-stratum is unique. The uniqueness of the minimal pre-stratum holds in complete generality if $X = Z$ and $G = U^\mathbb{C}$. In this case very general convexity theorems are available ([HH96]).

Another interesting extreme case is where a maximal pre-stratum S_β exists. By this we mean that $\beta \in \mu_\mathfrak{p}(X)$ and $\|\beta\| \geq \|\mu_\mathfrak{p}(z)\|$ for all $z \in X$. In this case the function $\eta_\mathfrak{p}$ is constant on S_β and we explain in Section 6 that the general theory implies that $S_\beta = \mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(K \cdot \beta) = \mu_\mathfrak{p}^{-1}(K \cdot \beta)$ and that $S^{\beta+} = \mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\beta) = \mu_\mathfrak{p}^{-1}(\beta)$. Every G-orbit in S_β is a K-orbit and every G^β-orbit in $S^{\beta+}$ is a K^β-orbit. Moreover, $S^{\beta+} = \mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\beta)$ is a union of connected components of X^β and the Slice Theorem gives a K-equivariant diffeomorphism $K \times^{K^\beta} \mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\beta) \cong S_\beta$. These considerations generalize an old theorem of Wolf ([Wo69]) which says that a real form G of a complex semisimple group acting on a generalized flag manifold has a unique closed G-obit. This is a very special case of Matsuki's duality result mentioned above.

2. Compatible subgroups and gradient maps

Let U be a compact Lie group. Then U has a natural real linear algebraic group structure, and we denote by $U^\mathbb{C}$ the corresponding complex linear algebraic group ([Ch46]). The group $U^\mathbb{C}$ is reductive and is the universal complexification of U in the sense of [Ho65]. On the Lie algebra level we have the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{u}^\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{u} + \mathrm{i}\mathfrak{u}$ with a corresponding Cartan involution $\theta : \mathfrak{u}^\mathbb{C} \to \mathfrak{u}^\mathbb{C}$, $\xi + \mathrm{i}\eta \mapsto \xi - \mathrm{i}\eta$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{u}$. The real analytic map $U \times \mathrm{i}\mathfrak{u} \to U^\mathbb{C}$, $(u, \xi) \mapsto u \exp \xi$, is a diffeomorphism. We refer to the decomposition $U^\mathbb{C} = U \exp(\mathrm{i}\mathfrak{u}) \cong U \times \mathrm{i}\mathfrak{u}$ as the *Cartan decomposition of* $U^\mathbb{C}$, and we fix it for the remainder of this paper.

Let G be a real Lie subgroup of $U^\mathbb{C}$. We say that G *is a compatible subgroup of* $U^\mathbb{C}$ if it is compatible with the Cartan decomposition of $U^\mathbb{C}$, i.e., if

$$K \times \mathfrak{p} \to G, \qquad (k, \beta) \mapsto k \exp \beta,$$

is a diffeomorphism where $K = G \cap U$ and $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g} \cap \mathrm{i}\mathfrak{u}$. In particular, G is a closed subgroup of $U^\mathbb{C}$ if and only if K is compact.

Let Z be a smooth holomorphic $U^{\mathbb{C}}$-space, i.e., Z is a complex manifold with a holomorphic action $U^{\mathbb{C}} \times Z \to Z$. We assume that Z has a Kähler form ω which is U-invariant. We also assume that we are given a U-equivariant moment mapping $\mu\colon Z \to \mathfrak{u}^*$. By definition we then have

$$d\mu^{\xi} = \imath_{\xi_Z}\omega$$

where μ^{ξ} is μ followed by evaluation at $\xi \in \mathfrak{u}$ and ξ_Z is the vector field on Z with one parameter group $(t, z) \mapsto \exp t\xi \cdot z$.

Now let G be a compatible closed subgroup of $U^{\mathbb{C}}$. We have the subspace $\mathrm{i}\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{u}$ and a corresponding mapping $\mu_{\mathrm{i}\mathfrak{p}}\colon Z \to (\mathrm{i}\mathfrak{p})^*$ where $\mu_{\mathrm{i}\mathfrak{p}}$ is just μ followed by restriction to $\mathrm{i}\mathfrak{p}$. The map $\mu_{\mathrm{i}\mathfrak{p}}$ is the correct analogue of μ when one is considering the action of G rather than the action of $U^{\mathbb{C}}$. In order to simplify notation we replace consideration of $\mu_{\mathrm{i}\mathfrak{p}}$ by that of $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}\colon Z \to \mathfrak{p}$ where

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(z) := \langle \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(z), \beta\rangle := \mu_{\mathrm{i}\mathfrak{p}}^{-\mathrm{i}\beta}(z).$$

Here $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denotes a K-invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathrm{i}\mathfrak{u}$. The map $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is called the *G-gradient map associated with* μ since the equation $d\mu^{\xi} = \imath_{\xi_Z}\omega$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{grad}\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta} = \beta_Z$ for all $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$. Here the gradient is computed with respect to the Riemannian metric $(.,.)$ on Z given by $(v, w) = \omega(v, Jw)$ for all $z \in Z$ and $v, w \in T_z(Z)$ where J denotes the complex structure on $T(Z)$.

For the rest of this paper we fix a G-stable locally closed submanifold X of Z. Of course, a special important case is where $X = Z$. From now on we also denote the restriction of $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ to X by $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We have

$$\operatorname{grad}\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta} = \beta_X$$

where grad is computed with respect to the induced Riemannian structure. Similarly, $\perp$ denotes perpendicularity relative to the induced Riemannian metric on X. We have the following two elementary results (see [HSch05, Lemmas 5.1, 5.4]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $x \in X$ and $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$. Then either $\beta_X(x) = 0$ or the function $t \to \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(\exp t\beta \cdot x)$ is strictly increasing.*

For a subspace $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathfrak{u}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $x \in X$ let $\mathfrak{m} \cdot x$ denote the subspace $\{\beta_X(x) : \beta \in \mathfrak{m}\}$ of the tangent space $T_x(X)$.

Lemma 2.2. *For all $x \in X$ we have $\ker d\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) = (\mathfrak{p} \cdot x)^{\perp}$.*

We will use $\|\cdot\|$ to denote the norm functions associated to $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and $(\cdot,\cdot)$. The critical points of the norm square function $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) := \frac{1}{2}\|\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)\|^2$ will be of central importance in the rest of this paper.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $x \in X$ and $\beta = \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)$. Then $\operatorname{grad}\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) = \beta_X(x)$.*

Proof. This follows from $d\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)(v) = \langle \beta, d\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)v\rangle = d\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(x)(v) = (v, \beta_X(x))$. □

Corollary 2.4. *Let $x \in X$ and set $\beta := \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)$. The following are equivalent.*

1. $\beta_X(x) = 0$,
2. $d\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(x) = 0$ *and*
3. $d\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) = 0$.

Let $x \in X$ and let $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}_x := \{\beta \in \mathfrak{p} : \beta_X(x) = 0\}$. Differentiating the action of G_x on $T_x(X)$ gives rise to a linear action of $\mathfrak{g}_x$ on $T_x(X)$. Since elements of $i\mathfrak{u}_x$ act as selfadjoint operators on $T_x(Z)$ relative to the induced Riemannian structure, our element β acts on $T_x(X)$ as a selfadjoint operator $d\beta_X(x)$ and has real eigenvalues.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $v \in T_x(X)$ be an eigenvector of $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}_x$ with eigenvalue $\lambda(\beta)$. Let $\gamma(t)$ be a smooth curve in X with $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\frac{d}{dt}\gamma(0) = v$. Then*

1. $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta} \circ \gamma)(0) = \lambda(\beta)\|v\|^2$.
2. *If x is a critical point of $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\beta := \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)$. Then*
$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(\eta_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \gamma)(0) = \lambda(\beta)\|v\|^2 + \|d\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)(v)\|^2.$$

Proof. We have
$$\frac{d}{dt}\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(\gamma(t)) = \left(\frac{d}{dt}\gamma(t), \beta_X(\gamma(t))\right)_{\gamma(t)}$$
where $(\cdot,\cdot)_z$ denotes the inner product on $T_z(X)$ at $z \in X$. There is a neighborhood U of $x \in X$ and a local diffeomorphism $\Psi\colon U \to T_x(X)$ where $\Psi(x) = 0$ and $d\Psi(x) = \mathrm{Id}$. Using the local coordinates given by Ψ we have $(\ ,\ )_{\gamma(t)} = (\ ,\ )_x + t\,(\ ,\ )_t$ where $(\ ,\ )_t$ is a bilinear form on $T_x(X)$ depending smoothly on t. From $\beta_X(\gamma(t)) = t \cdot d\beta_X(x) \cdot (\frac{d}{dt}\gamma)(0) + t^2 R_0(t) = t\lambda(\beta)v + t^2 R_0(t)$, where $R_0(t)$ is smooth, we get
$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}(\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta} \circ \gamma)(t) &= \left(\frac{d}{dt}\gamma(t), \beta_X(\gamma(t))\right)_x + t\left(\frac{d}{dt}\gamma(t), \beta_X(\gamma(t))\right)_t \\ &= \left(\frac{d}{dt}\gamma(t), t\lambda(\beta)v + t^2R_0(t)\right)_x + t\left(\frac{d}{dt}\gamma(t), t\lambda(\beta)v + t^2R_0(t)\right)_t \\ &= \left(\frac{d}{dt}\gamma(t), t\lambda(\beta)v\right)_x + t^2R_1(t).\end{aligned}$$
This implies (1).

If β is as in (2), then $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\gamma(t)) = \beta + t \cdot d\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \cdot v + t^2R_2(t)$. Hence
$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}(\eta_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \gamma)(t) &= \left\langle d\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\gamma(t))\frac{d}{dt}\gamma(t), \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\gamma(t))\right\rangle \\ &= \frac{d}{dt}(\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta} \circ \gamma)(t) + t\left\langle d\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\gamma(t))\frac{d}{dt}\gamma(t), d\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \cdot v\right\rangle + t^2R_3(t)\end{aligned}$$
and (2) follows. □

If $\mathfrak{m}$ is a subspace of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}$ and $\beta \in \mathfrak{l}$, set $\mathfrak{m}^{\beta} := \{\xi \in \mathfrak{m} : [\xi, \beta] = 0\}$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\beta := \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)$ and assume that $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}_x$. Let $\zeta \in \mathfrak{k}$. If $\zeta_X(x)$ is the sum of positive eigenvectors of β, then $\zeta \in \mathfrak{k}^\beta$.*

Proof. Let $\gamma(t) := \exp t\zeta \cdot x$. Proposition 2.5 implies that $0 \le \frac{d^2}{dt^2}(\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^\beta \circ \gamma)(0)$. Since $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is K-equivariant and the K-action on $\mathfrak{p}$ is by linear isometries we have

$$\tfrac{d^2}{dt^2}(\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^\beta \circ \gamma)(0) = \tfrac{d^2}{dt^2}|_0 \langle \exp(t\zeta) \cdot \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x), \beta \rangle = \langle [\zeta, [\zeta, \beta]], \beta \rangle = -\|[\zeta, \beta]\|^2 \le 0.$$

This shows that $[\zeta, \beta] = 0$, i.e., $\zeta \in \mathfrak{k}^\beta$. □

3. The Slice and Quotient Theorems

In this section we recall for the convenience of the reader some results from [HSch05, HSt05]. For any Lie group G, a closed subgroup H and any set S with an H-action we denote by $G \times^H S$ the G-bundle over G/H associated with the H-principal bundle $G \to G/H$. This is the orbit space of the H-action on $G \times S$ given by $h \cdot (g, s) = (gh^{-1}, h \cdot s)$ where $g \in G$, $s \in S$ and $h \in H$. The H-orbit of (g, s), considered as a point in $G \times^H S$, will be denoted by $[g, s]$.

Let $G = K \exp \mathfrak{p}$ be a compatible closed subgroup of $U^{\mathbb{C}}$. For $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$ set $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta) := \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(\beta) \subset X$ and set $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(0)$. Let $x \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then $G_x = K_x \exp \mathfrak{p}_x$ ([HSch05, 5.5]). Since the G_x-representation on $T_x(X)$ is completely reducible ([HSch05, 14.9]), there is a G_x-stable decomposition $T_x(X) = \mathfrak{g} \cdot x \oplus W$. Now the Slice Theorem for Z ([HSch05, 14.10, 14.21]) pulls back to the following Slice Theorem for X:

Theorem 3.1 (Slice Theorem)**.** *Let $x \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then there exists a G_x-stable open neighborhood S of $0 \in W$, a G-stable open neighborhood Ω of $x \in X$ and a G-equivariant diffeomorphism $\Psi \colon G \times^{G_x} S \to \Omega$ where $\Psi([e, 0]) = x$.*

Actually, we have a Slice Theorem at every $x \in X$. Set $\beta := \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)$ and let $G^\beta = \{g \in G : \operatorname{Ad} g \cdot \beta = \beta\}$ denote the centralizer of β. Then we have a slice for the action of G^β, as follows.

The centralizer G^β is a compatible subgroup of $U^{\mathbb{C}}$ with Cartan decomposition $G^\beta = K^\beta \exp(\mathfrak{p}^\beta)$ where $K^\beta = K \cap G^\beta$ and $\mathfrak{p}^\beta = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{p} : \operatorname{ad}(\xi)\beta = 0\}$. The group G^β is also compatible with the Cartan decomposition of $(U^{\mathbb{C}})^\beta = (U^\beta)^{\mathbb{C}}$ and β is fixed by the action of U^β on $\mathfrak{u}^\beta$. This implies that the $\mathfrak{u}^\beta$-component of μ defines a U^β-equivariant shifted gradient map $\widehat{\mu_{\mathfrak{u}^\beta}} \colon Z \to \mathfrak{u}^\beta$, $\widehat{\mu_{\mathfrak{u}^\beta}}(z) = \mu_{\mathfrak{u}_\beta}(z) - \beta$. The associated G^β-gradient map is given by $\widehat{\mu_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}} \colon X \to \mathfrak{p}^\beta$, $\widehat{\mu_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}}(z) = \mu_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(z) - \beta$. This shows that the Slice Theorem applies to the action of G^β at every point $x \in (\widehat{\mu_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}})^{-1}(0) = \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta)$. In particular, if G is commutative, then we have a Slice Theorem for G at every point of X.

For H a subgroup of G, M a subset of Z and Y an H-stable subset of Z, we define the saturation $\mathcal{S}_H(M)(Y)$ to be $\{z \in Y : \overline{H \cdot z} \cap M \neq \emptyset\}$. Here $\overline{H \cdot z}$ denotes the closure of $H \cdot z$ in Y. In general, $\mathcal{S}_H(M)(Y)$ is a proper subset of $\mathcal{S}_H(M)(Z) \cap Y$. But in the case where Y is closed in Z these sets agree. The set $\mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p})(Y)$ is called the *set of semistable points* of Y with respect to $\mu_\mathfrak{p}$. In ([HSt05]) it has been shown that $\mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\beta))(Z)$ is open in Z for every $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$. For a closed G-stable subset Y of Z this implies that $\mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\beta))(Y)$ is open in Y. Inspecting the proof in [HSt05] one can more generally show that $\mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\beta))(X)$ is open in X for any locally closed G-stable submanifold X of Z. Since we have fixed X, we usually write $\mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\beta))$ for $\mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\beta))(X)$. The set of semistable points plays a major role in [HSch05]. One reason for this is the quotient theorem which we now formulate.

Let $Y \subset Z$ be G-stable and let x, $y \in Y$. We define a relation $\sim$ on Y where $x \sim y$ if and only if $Y \cap \overline{G \cdot x} \cap \overline{G \cdot y} \neq \emptyset$. If this relation is in fact an equivalence relation we denote the corresponding quotient by $Y/\!\!/G$ and call it the *topological Hilbert quotient of* Y *by the action of* G.

Theorem 3.2 (Quotient Theorem [HSch05])**.** *Assume that* $X = \mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p})$. *Then the topological Hilbert quotient* $X/\!\!/G$ *exists and has the following properties.*

1. *Every fiber of* π *contains a unique closed* G*-orbit. Any other orbit in the fiber has strictly larger dimension.*
2. *The closure of every* G*-orbit in a fiber of* π *contains the closed* G*-orbit.*
3. *Every fiber of* π *intersects* $\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}$ *in a unique* K*-orbit which lies in the unique closed* G*-orbit.*
4. *The inclusion* $\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p} \hookrightarrow X$ *induces a homeomorphism* $\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}/K \cong X/\!\!/G$*.*

As in the case of the Slice Theorem, we have local versions of the Quotient Theorem. For any $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$ we have the open subset of semistable points $\mathcal{S}_{G^\beta}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta))$ in X, and we can apply the Quotient Theorem for the action of G^β.

4. Fixed points and parabolic subgroups

Let $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$. We have a vector field β_G whose one-parameter subgroup is given by $(t, y) \mapsto \exp(t\beta) y \exp(-t\beta)$. Then

$$G^\beta = \{y \in G : \beta_G(y) = 0\} = \{y \in G : \exp(t\beta) y \exp(-t\beta) = y \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

We have the parabolic subgroup

$$G^{\beta+} := \{y \in G : \lim_{t \to -\infty} \exp(t\beta) y \exp(-t\beta) \text{ exists}\}$$

with unipotent radical

$$R^{\beta+} := \{y \in G : \lim_{t \to -\infty} \exp(t\beta) y \exp(-t\beta) = e\}.$$

Then $G^{\beta+}$ is the semi-direct product of G^β with $R^{\beta+}$ and we have the projection $\pi^{\beta+} : G^{\beta+} \to G^\beta$, $\pi^{\beta+}(y) := \lim_{t \to -\infty} \exp(t\beta) y \exp(-t\beta)$.

Lemma 4.1. *For every* $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$ *we have* $G = KG^{\beta+}$*.*

Proof. The adjoint orbit O of U through $\beta \in i\mathfrak{u} \cong \mathfrak{u}$ can be considered as a Kähler manifold endowed with a holomorphic $U^{\mathbb{C}}$-action. In particular, G-acts on O. In [HSt05] it is shown that $G\cdot\beta = K\cdot\beta$. Since $G\cdot\beta \cong G/G^{\beta+}$ this proves the claim. □

We introduce submanifolds of X analogous to G^β and $G^{\beta+}$. For $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$ we have the corresponding vector field β_X on X whose one-parameter subgroup is given by $(t,y) \mapsto \exp(t\beta)\cdot y$. We have the set

$$X^\beta := \{y \in X : \beta_X(y) = 0\}$$

which is the set of fixed points $\{y \in X : \exp t\beta \cdot y = y \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. The set X^β is G^β-stable and is a subset of the $G^{\beta+}$-stable set

$$X^{\beta+} := \{y \in X : \lim_{t\to-\infty} \exp t\beta \cdot y \text{ exists}\}.$$

The map $p^{\beta+}\colon X^{\beta+} \to X^\beta$, $p^{\beta+}(y) = \lim_{t\to-\infty} \exp t\beta\cdot y$ is well defined, G^β-equivariant, surjective and its fibers are $R^{\beta+}$-stable.

In the following we fix $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$, $\|\beta\| = 1$ and discuss, in the spirit of this paper, the relevant properties of the action of the group $\Gamma := \exp\gamma$ on X where $\gamma := \mathbb{R}\beta$. Note that Γ is a closed compatible subgroup of $U^{\mathbb{C}}$. As an abstract Lie group Γ is just the additive group $\mathbb{R}$. The Γ-gradient map on X is given by $\mu_\gamma(y) = \mu_\gamma^\beta(y)\beta$ and will in the following be identified with $\mu_\gamma^\beta\colon X \to \mathbb{R}$. The isotropy group Γ_x of Γ at every point $x \in X$ is compatible with the Cartan decomposition of $U^{\mathbb{C}}$. Since $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{R}$, we have that either $\Gamma_x = \{0\}$ or $\Gamma_x = \Gamma$. The Slice Theorem applied at $x \in X \setminus X^\beta$ gives the existence of an open Γ-stable neighborhood Ω of x, a closed submanifold S of Ω with $x \in S$ such that the map $\Psi\colon \Gamma \times S \to \Omega$, $(g,s) \mapsto g\cdot s$ is a diffeomorphism.

In the case that $x \in X^\beta$, the Slice Theorem gives a linearization of the Γ-action near x, as follows. The linearized vector field $d\beta_X(x)$ acts on $T_x(X)$ as a self-adjoint operator, also denoted by β, and $W := T_x(X)$ is a direct sum of one dimensional eigenspaces. We define $W^{\beta+} := W^\beta \oplus W^+$ where W^+ is the sum of the eigenspaces of β with positive eigenvalues and W^β is the zero eigenspace of β. By the Slice Theorem there is an open Γ-stable neighborhood S of zero in $T_x(X)$ and a Γ-equivariant diffeomorphism Ψ which maps S onto an open neighborhood Ω of x in X. Since S is Γ-stable, it contains $(S\cap W^\beta)\oplus W^+$. Now Ψ identifies $S \cap W^{\beta+}$ with $\Omega\cap X^{\beta+}$ and $S\cap W^\beta$ with $\Omega \cap X^\beta$. Moreover, $(p^{\beta+})^{-1}\Psi(S\cap W^\beta) = \Psi((S\cap W^\beta)\oplus W^+)$ is closed in Ω.

Remark 4.2. The Slice Theorem applied to Γ at $x \in X^\beta$ shows that, for y near x, the limit $\exp t_n\beta\cdot y$ exists for some sequence $t_n \to -\infty$ if and only if $\lim_{t\to-\infty} \exp t\beta \cdot y = x$.

We summarize our discussion as follows.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$, $\Gamma = \exp \mathbb{R}\beta$ and let $X^{\beta+}$ be as above.*

1. *The set X^β is a closed submanifold of X.*
2. *The set $X^{\beta+}$ is a locally closed $G^{\beta+}$-stable submanifold of X*
3. *The tangent space of $X^{\beta+}$ at $x \in X^\beta$ is $W^{\beta+}$.*
4. *The map $p^{\beta+}$ is a G^β-equivariant strong deformation retraction.*
5. *$p^{\beta+}$ is $G^{\beta+}$-equivariant where the action of $G^{\beta+}$ on X^β is via the quotient mapping to G^β.*

Remark 4.4. In addition to the properties in Proposition 4.3, we have that the map $p^{\beta+}$ is a smooth locally trivial fibration which realizes X^β as the topological Hilbert quotient of $X^{\beta+}$ with respect to the action of Γ. Each fiber $F_x^{\beta+} := (p^{\beta+})^{-1}(x)$ of $p^{\beta+}$ is $(G^\beta)_x$-equivariantly diffeomorphic to a $(G^\beta)_x$-representation $W^+(x)$ which, up to isomorphism, depends only on the connected component of x in X^β. Furthermore, the map $G^\beta \times^{(G^\beta)_x} F_x^{\beta+} \to (p^{\beta+})^{-1}(G^\beta \cdot x)$, $[a, z] \mapsto a \cdot z$ is a G^β-equivariant diffeomorphism.

Remark 4.5. If $G = K^{\mathbb{C}}$ for some compact subgroup K of U and if X is a complex submanifold of Z, then the manifolds discussed in Proposition 4.3 are complex analytic and the maps are holomorphic.

Of particular interest are the connected components of X^β on which $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^\beta = \langle \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}, \beta \rangle$ is constant. For any $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ let $X_r^\beta := \{y \in X^\beta : \langle \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(y), \beta \rangle = r\}$ and let $X_r^{\beta+} := (p^{\beta+})^{-1}(X_r^\beta)$.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$.*

1. *The function $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^\beta \colon X^\beta \to \mathbb{R}$ is locally constant. In particular, X_r^β is open and closed in X^β.*
2. *We have $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^\beta(y) \geq r$ for $y \in X_r^{\beta+}$ and equality holds if and only if $y \in X_r^\beta$*

Proof. For $v \in T_y(X)$ and $y \in X^\beta$, $d\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^\beta(y)(v) = (\beta_X(y), v) = 0$. Hence $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^\beta$ is locally constant on X^β and we have (1).

Let $y \in X_r^{\beta+}$. Then $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^\beta(\exp t\beta \cdot y) \geq \lim_{t \to -\infty} \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^\beta(\exp t\beta \cdot y) = r$. Note that $\mu^\beta(y) = r$ if and only if $\exp t\beta \cdot y = y$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (Lemma 2.1), i.e., $y \in X^\beta$. This establishes (2). □

Remark 4.7. By replacing β with $-\beta$ one obtains analogous results for $X^{\beta-} := \{y \in X : \lim_{t \to +\infty} \exp t\beta \cdot y \text{ exists}\}$.

Let $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$ and let $\mathfrak{g}^\beta = \mathfrak{k}^\beta + \mathfrak{p}^\beta$ denote the Cartan decomposition of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^\beta$ of the centralizer G^β. For $r \in \mathbb{R}$ let $H_r(\beta) := \{\zeta \in \mathfrak{p}^\beta : \langle \beta, \zeta \rangle = r\}$. In the following it is important to note that $H_r(\beta)$ is a hyperplane in $\mathfrak{p}^\beta$ if and only if $\beta \neq 0$. If $\beta \neq 0$ we have the corresponding half-spaces $H_r^+(\beta) := \{\zeta \in \mathfrak{p}^\beta : \langle \beta, \zeta \rangle \geq r\}$ and $H_r^-(\beta) := \{\zeta \in \mathfrak{p}^\beta : \langle \beta, \zeta \rangle \leq r\}$. These sets are also defined in the case where $\beta = 0$ but then they are either empty $(r \neq 0)$ or all of them coincide with $\mathfrak{p}^\beta$ $(r = 0)$.

Corollary 4.8. *Let $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$.*

(1) $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(X_r^{\beta+}) \subset H_r^+(\beta)$,
(2) $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(X_r^{\beta-}) \subset H_r^-(\beta)$ *and*
(3) $X_r^\beta = X_r^{\beta-} \cap X_r^{\beta+} = \mu_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}^{-1}(H_r(\beta)) \cap X_r^{\beta+}$.

Remark 4.9. For fixed r the manifold $X_r^{\beta+}$ is defined only in terms of the group $\Gamma := \exp \mathbb{R}\beta$. This means that $X_r^{\beta+}$ remains unchanged for any compatible subgroup G of $U^{\mathbb{C}}$ which contains Γ and stabilizes X. Note that X_r^β is G^β-stable.

5. Critical points, Slices and Quotients

Let $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta} \colon X \to \mathfrak{p}^\beta$ denote the gradient map associated with the moment map $\mu_{\mathfrak{u}^\beta} \colon Z \to \mathfrak{u}^\beta$ (see Section 3). Recall that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta)$ is the zero fiber of the shifted gradient map $\widehat{\mu_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}} = \mu_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta} - \beta$.

For $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$ we set $S^{\beta+} := \mathcal{S}_{G^\beta}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta))(X^{\beta+}_{\|\beta\|^2})$, i.e., $S^{\beta+}$ is the set of G^β-semistable points in $X^{\beta+}_{\|\beta\|^2}$ with respect to the shifted gradient map $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta} - \beta$. The set $S_\beta := G \cdot S^{\beta+}$ is called the *pre-stratum associated with* β.

Remark 5.1. $S^{\beta+}$ is a locally closed submanifold of X since it is an open subset of $X^{\beta+}$.

Remark 5.2. As we already noted (see Remark 4.9), the set $X^{\beta+}_{\|\beta\|^2}$ only depends upon the group $\Gamma = \exp(\mathbb{R}\beta)$. However, in general, $S^{\beta+}$ depends upon G^β.

Let $\mathcal{C}_\mathfrak{p}$ denote the set of critical points of $\eta_\mathfrak{p} \colon X \to \mathbb{R}$, $\eta_\mathfrak{p}(x) = \frac{1}{2}\|\mu_\mathfrak{p}(x)\|^2$ and set $\mathcal{B}_\mathfrak{p} := \mu_\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{C}_\mathfrak{p})$. Since $\eta_\mathfrak{p}$ is K-invariant the sets $\mathcal{C}_\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathcal{B}_\mathfrak{p}$ are K-stable.

We will now formulate our main general results.

Theorem 5.3 (Slice Theorem for Pre-Strata)**.** *Let $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_\mathfrak{p}$ and let S_β be the pre-stratum associated with β.*

(1) *The pre-stratum S_β is a locally closed submanifold of X and $S^{\beta+}$ is a $G^{\beta+}$-stable locally closed submanifold of X.*
(2) *The natural map $G \times^{G^{\beta+}} S^{\beta+} \to S_\beta$ is a diffeomorphism.*
(3) *The natural map $K \times^{K^\beta} S^{\beta+} \to S_\beta$ is a diffeomorphism.*

The following is an analogue of the Quotient Theorem 3.2 for semistable points in the context of pre-strata. Here $\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(K \cdot \beta) := \mu_\mathfrak{p}^{-1}(K \cdot \beta)$ plays the role of $\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}$ in Theorem 3.2 and the case where $\beta = 0$ is just Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 5.4 (Quotient Theorem for Pre-Strata)**.** *Let $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_\mathfrak{p}$ and let S_β be the pre-stratum associated with β. Then the topological Hilbert quotient $S_\beta /\!\!/ G$ exists. Let $\pi_{S_\beta} \colon S_\beta \to S_\beta /\!\!/ G$ denote the quotient map.*

(1) *Every fiber of π_{S_β} contains a unique closed G-orbit. Any other orbit in the fiber has strictly larger dimension.*

(2) *The closure of every G-orbit in a π_{S_β}-fiber contains the closed G-orbit.*
(3) *Every fiber of π_{S_β} intersects $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K\cdot\beta)\cap S_\beta$ in a unique K-orbit which lies in the unique closed G-orbit.*
(4) *The inclusion $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K\cdot\beta)\cap S_\beta \hookrightarrow S_\beta$ induces a homeomorphism $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K\cdot\beta)\cap S_\beta)/K \cong S_\beta/\!\!/G$.*

Note that we can apply Theorem 3.2 to the set of semistable points $S^{\beta+} = \mathcal{S}_{G^\beta}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta))(X^{\beta+}_{\|\beta\|^2})$. In the following discussion it will turn out that the quotients $S^{\beta+}/\!\!/G^\beta$ and $S_\beta/\!\!/G$ are isomorphic (Proof of Theorem 5.4) and that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta)\cap S^{\beta+}\subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K\cdot\beta)\cap S_\beta$ (Lemma 5.6 and Proposition 5.8). We may summarize all this in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta)\cap S^{\beta+} \arrow[rr, hook] \arrow[dl, hook] \arrow[dr, hook] \arrow[dd] & & \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K\cdot\beta)\cap S_\beta \arrow[dl, hook] \arrow[dd] \\
S^{\beta+} \arrow[rr, hook] \arrow[dd] & & S_\beta \arrow[dd] & \\
 & (\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta)\cap S^{\beta+})/K^\beta \arrow[rr, equal, "\sim"] \arrow[dl, equal, "\sim"'] \arrow[dr, equal, "\sim"] & & (\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K\cdot\beta)\cap S_\beta)/K \arrow[dl, equal, "\sim"'] \\
S^{\beta+}/\!\!/G^\beta \arrow[rr, equal, "\sim"] & & S_\beta/\!\!/G &
\end{tikzcd}$$

We will also see that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta)\cap S^{\beta+}$ is the set of minima of $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}|S^{\beta+}$ (Lemma 5.12) and that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K\cdot\beta)\cap S_\beta$ is the set of minima of $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}|S_\beta$ (Proposition 5.13).

Theorem 5.5 (Pre-Stratification Theorem)**.** *Let β, $\tilde\beta\in\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.*
(1) *If $S_{\tilde\beta}\cap\overline{S_\beta}\neq\emptyset$ and $K\cdot\beta\neq K\cdot\tilde\beta$, then $\|\tilde\beta\|>\|\beta\|$.*
(2) *The following are equivalent.*
  (a) *$K\cdot\beta = K\cdot\tilde\beta$.*
  (b) *$S_\beta = S_{\tilde\beta}$.*
  (c) *$S_\beta\cap S_{\tilde\beta}\neq\emptyset$.*

Now we will give additional information about the pre-strata which will lead to the proofs of the theorems.

Lemma 5.6. *For any $\beta\in\mathfrak{p}$ we have*
(1) $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta)\cap X^\beta = \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta)\cap\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.
(2) $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta)\cap X^\beta = \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta)\cap X^\beta$.
(3) $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta)\cap X^\beta = \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta)\cap X^{\beta+}_{\|\beta\|^2}$.

Proof. The first part is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.3. Since μ is $\exp(\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}\beta)$-equivariant, on X^β it takes values in $(\mathfrak{u}^*)^\beta$ and $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ takes values in $\mathfrak{p}^\beta$. This implies (2). Part (3) follows from Corollary 4.8. ☐

Remark 5.7. Lemma 5.6 implies that $S^{\beta+}$ (equivalently S_β) is nonempty if and only if $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_\mathfrak{p}$. This is the only reason why Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 5.4 are formulated for $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_\mathfrak{p}$ and not for $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$.

As in Section 4 we let $p^{\beta+}\colon X^{\beta+} \to X^\beta$ denote the G^β-equivariant map $p^{\beta+}(y) = \lim_{t\to-\infty} \exp t\beta \cdot y$.

Proposition 5.8. *For every $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_\mathfrak{p}$ we have the following.*

1. $S^{\beta+} = (p^{\beta+})^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_{G^\beta}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta))(X^\beta))$.
2. $S^{\beta+}$ *is* $G^{\beta+}$*-stable.*
3. $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta) \cap S^{\beta+} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta) \cap X^\beta$.
4. $\mathcal{S}_{G^\beta}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta))(X^\beta)$ *is a* G^β*-equivariant strong deformation retract of* $S^{\beta+}$.

Proof. Let $y \in X^{\beta+}$ and set $x = p^{\beta+}(y)$. Since $p^{\beta+}$ is G^β-equivariant and fixes X^β and since x lies in $\overline{G^\beta \cdot y}$, we have that $\emptyset \neq \overline{G^\beta \cdot x} \cap X^\beta \cap \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta)$ if and only if $\emptyset \neq \overline{G^\beta \cdot y} \cap X^\beta \cap \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta)$. Hence the equality in (1) follows from Lemma 5.6. Invariance of $S^{\beta+}$ with respect to the $G^{\beta+}$-action follows from (1) and (3) follows from Lemma 5.6 (3). The deformation of $S^{\beta+}$ onto $\mathcal{S}_{G^\beta}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta))(X^\beta)$ is given by $(t, y) \mapsto \exp t\beta \cdot y$. ☐

Corollary 5.9. *For all $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_\mathfrak{p}$ we have $S_\beta = K \cdot S^{\beta+}$.*

Proof. This follows from $G = KG^{\beta+}$ (Lemma 4.1), $G^{\beta+}$-stability of $S^{\beta+}$ and the definition $S_\beta = G \cdot S^{\beta+}$. ☐

By Theorem 3.2 the topological Hilbert quotients $\mathcal{S}_{G^\beta}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta))(X^\beta)/\!\!/G^\beta$ and $S^{\beta+}/\!\!/G^\beta$ exist. Proposition 5.8 implies that the inclusion $\mathcal{S}_{G^\beta}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta))(X^\beta) \hookrightarrow S^{\beta+}$ induces an isomorphism of these quotients. Note that for $x, y \in S^{\beta+}$ we have $\overline{G^\beta \cdot x} \cap \overline{G^\beta \cdot y} \cap S^{\beta+} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\overline{G^{\beta+} \cdot x} \cap \overline{G^{\beta+} \cdot y} \cap S^{\beta+} \neq \emptyset$ by Proposition 4.3. Therefore the quotient $S^{\beta+}/\!\!/G^{\beta+}$ exists and is not only isomorphic to but also equal to $S^{\beta+}/\!\!/G^\beta$. We summarize this discussion in the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{S}_{G^\beta}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta))(X^\beta) \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d] & S^{\beta+} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}_{S^{\beta+}}"] \arrow[d] & S^{\beta+} \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{S}_{G^\beta}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta))(X^\beta)/\!\!/G^\beta \arrow[r, equal, "\sim"] & S^{\beta+}/\!\!/G^\beta \arrow[r, equal] & S^{\beta+}/\!\!/G^{\beta+}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Recall that by Theorem 3.2 each fiber of the quotient $S^{\beta+} \to S^{\beta+}/\!\!/G^\beta$ contains a unique closed G^β-orbit which is the unique orbit of minimal dimension in that fiber. We now show the analogous fact for the action of $G^{\beta+}$ on $S^{\beta+}$. We make use of the following remark.

Remark 5.10. Let H be a Lie group acting on a manifold Y. Then for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{y \in Y \mid \dim H \cdot y \geq d\}$ is open.

Proposition 5.11. *Let $G^\beta \cdot x$ be the unique closed G^β-orbit in a fiber F of the quotient $S^{\beta+} \to S^{\beta+}/\!\!/G^\beta$. Then $G^{\beta+}\cdot x$ is the unique closed $G^{\beta+}$-orbit in F and every other $G^{\beta+}$-orbit in F has strictly larger dimension.*

Proof. Since $p^{\beta+}(x) \in G^\beta \cdot x$, we must have that $x \in X^\beta$. To simplify notation let Q denote $G^{\beta+}$. Let $z \in F$ and set $y := p^{\beta+}(z)$. Then $y \in F \cap X^\beta$.

Assume that $Q\cdot z \neq Q \cdot y$. We show that $\dim Q\cdot z > \dim Q\cdot y$. Let $\mathfrak{q}_y^c$ be a subspace of $\mathfrak{q}$ complementary to $\mathfrak{q}_y$. Since an $\exp(\mathbb{R}\beta)$-invariant neighborhood of y in $X^{\beta+}$ can be identified with an $\exp(\mathbb{R}\beta)$-invariant neighborhood of 0 in the tangent space $T_y(X^{\beta+})$ (see Section 4) and since $\mathfrak{q}_y^c\cdot y = \mathfrak{q}\cdot y$ is $\exp(\mathbb{R}\beta)$-stable, there is an $\exp(\mathbb{R}\beta)$-invariant locally closed submanifold N_y of $X^{\beta+}$ with $y \in N_y$ and $T_y(X^{\beta+}) = \mathfrak{q}_y^c\cdot y \oplus T_y(N_y)$. This implies that the map $\Phi_y\colon \mathfrak{q}_y^c \times N_y \to X^{\beta+}$, $(\xi, w) \mapsto \exp(\xi)\cdot w$, is a local diffeomorphism near $(0, y)$. Since $Q\cdot z$ intersects every open neighborhood of y and since $\exp(\mathfrak{q}_y^c) \subset Q$, we may assume that there is a $z' \in Q\cdot z$ such that $z' \in N_y$ and such that $\dim \mathfrak{q}_y^c\cdot z' = \dim \mathfrak{q}_y^c \cdot y$. Since $Q\cdot z \neq Q\cdot y$, $z' \notin X^\beta$ and $0 \neq \beta_X(z') \in T_{z'}(N_y)$ does not lie in $\mathfrak{q}_y^c\cdot z'$. Thus $\dim Q\cdot z' \geq \dim \mathfrak{q}_y^c + 1 > \dim Q \cdot y$.

It now suffices to show that $\dim Q\cdot y > \dim Q\cdot x$ if $Q\cdot y \neq Q\cdot x$. We have that $\dim G^\beta\cdot x < \dim G^\beta \cdot y$. Since $p^{\beta+}(x) = x$ the G^β-equivariance and $R^{\beta+}$-invariance of $p^{\beta+}$ imply that $\dim Q\cdot x = \dim G^\beta\cdot x + \dim R^{\beta+}\cdot x$ and similarly for y. Since we may assume that y is arbitrarily close to x, we have that $\dim R^{\beta+}\cdot y \geq \dim R^{\beta+}\cdot x$. Thus $\dim Q\cdot y = \dim G^\beta\cdot y + \dim R^{\beta+}\cdot y > \dim G^\beta \cdot x + \dim R^{\beta+}\cdot x = \dim Q\cdot x$.

We have shown that the orbit $Q\cdot x$ is of minimum dimension in F. It remains to show that it is the unique closed orbit. Suppose that $x' \in \overline{Q\cdot x}$ where $Q\cdot x' \neq Q\cdot x$. Then $\dim Q\cdot x \geq \dim Q\cdot x'$. But this contradicts the fact that $\dim Q\cdot x < \dim Q\cdot x'$. Hence $Q\cdot x$ is closed. By the definition of topological Hilbert quotient there are no other closed orbits in the fiber F. □

Lemma 5.12. *Let $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $z \in \overline{S^{\beta+}}$. Then $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}(z) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|\beta\|^2$ and equality holds if and only if $z \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta)\cap X^\beta$.*

Proof. We have $\|\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(z)\| \geq \|\mu_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(z)\| \geq \|\beta\|$ by Corollary 4.8. If equality holds then $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(z) = \beta$ and z is a minimum of $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}|G^\beta z$. Therefore it is a critical point of $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}$ and we have $z \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta)\cap X^\beta = \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta) \cap X^\beta$ by Corollary 2.4. □

Proposition 5.13. *Let $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and let Y_β be a G-stable closed subset of S_β and $\overline{Y_\beta}$ its closure in X. We have $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}|\overline{Y_\beta} \geq \frac{1}{2}\|\beta\|^2$ and the set of $z \in \overline{Y_\beta}$ where $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}(z) = \frac{1}{2}\|\beta\|^2$ coincides with $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K\cdot\beta)\cap Y_\beta$ and is non-empty if Y_β is non-empty.*

Proof. We have $\overline{Y_\beta} = K \cdot \overline{Y^{\beta+}}$ where $Y^{\beta+} := Y_\beta \cap S^{\beta+}$ (Corollary 5.9). For $z \in \overline{Y_\beta}$ we have $z = k\cdot y$ where $k \in K$ and $y \in \overline{Y^{\beta+}} \subset \overline{S^{\beta+}}$. Then $\|\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(z)\| = \|\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(y)\| \geq \|\beta\|$. If we have equality then $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(y) = \beta$ and $y \in X^\beta$

(Lemma 5.12). Now $Y^{\beta+}$ is closed in $S^{\beta+}$. Therefore $y \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta) \cap Y^{\beta+}$ and $z \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K \cdot \beta) \cap Y_\beta$.

Finally, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K \cdot \beta) \cap Y_\beta$ is non-empty since $\overline{G^\beta \cdot y}$ intersects $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta) \cap X^\beta$ for every $y \in Y^{\beta+} \subset S^{\beta+}$. ☐

Corollary 5.14. *The closure of every G-orbit in S_β intersects $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta) \cap X^\beta$.*

We now work towards the proof of the Slice Theorem for Pre-Strata.

Lemma 5.15. *Let $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. The identity $S^{\beta+} \to S^{\beta+}$ induces a diffeomorphism $\phi \colon K \times^{K^\beta} S^{\beta+} \to G \times^{G^{\beta+}} S^{\beta+}$.*

Proof. Since $G = KG^{\beta+}$, $K \cap G^{\beta+} = K^\beta$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{g}^{\beta+}$, ϕ is a 1-1 onto submersion between manifolds of the same dimension, hence a diffeomorphism. ☐

In the following we fix $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and define $\Psi \colon G \times^{G^{\beta+}} S^{\beta+} \to S_\beta$ by $\Psi([g,s]) = g \cdot s$.

Lemma 5.16. *The map Ψ is an immersion.*

Proof. First we show that Ψ is an immersion at any $x \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta) \cap S^{\beta+}$. Since $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{g}^{\beta+}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{\beta+} \cdot x \subset T_x(S^{\beta+})$ it is sufficient to show that $\zeta \in \mathfrak{k}$ and $\zeta_X(x) \in T_x(S^{\beta+})$ implies that $\zeta \in \mathfrak{k}^\beta \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\beta+}$. This is a consequence of Lemma 2.6 since $T_x(S^{\beta+})$ is the sum of the eigenspaces with non-negative eigenvalues of the isotropy action of β on $T_x(X)$. Since every G^β-orbit in $S^{\beta+}$ intersects every open neighborhood of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta) \cap S^{\beta+}$ and Ψ is G-equivariant it follows that Ψ is an immersion. ☐

Proposition 5.17. *Let $w_n \in G \times^{G^{\beta+}} S^{\beta+}$ be a sequence such that $\Psi(w_n)$ converges to $x \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta) \cap X^\beta$. Then the sequence w_n has a convergent subsequence and every convergent subsequence converges to $[e,x]$.*

Proof. Let $w_n = [k_n, s_n]$ where $k_n \in K$ and $s_n \in S^{\beta+}$ (Lemma 5.15). We may assume that k_n converges to $k \in K$. This implies that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Psi(k_n^{-1}[k_n, s_n]) = \lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = k^{-1} \cdot x \in \overline{S^{\beta+}} \subset \overline{X^{\beta+}_{\|\beta\|^2}}$. From $\|\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(k^{-1} \cdot x)\| = \|\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)\| = \|\beta\|$ and $k^{-1} \cdot x \in \overline{S^{\beta+}}$ we obtain that $k^{-1}x \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta) \cap X^\beta$ (Lemma 5.12). Since also $x \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta) \cap X^\beta$ we conclude that $k \in K^\beta$ and that $\lim_{n\to\infty}[k_n, s_n] = [k, k^{-1} \cdot x] = [e,x]$. ☐

Corollary 5.18. *The map Ψ is injective.*

Proof. We first show that for every $x \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta) \cap X^\beta$ there exists an open neighborhood Ω of $x \in X$ such that $\Psi|\Psi^{-1}(S_\beta \cap \Omega)$ is injective. If $\Psi|\Psi^{-1}(S_\beta \cap \Omega)$ is not injective for Ω sufficiently small, then there are $v_n, w_n \in G \times^{G^{\beta+}} S^{\beta+}$ such that $v_n \neq w_n$, $\Psi(v_n) = \Psi(w_n)$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Psi(v_n) = x$. Since Ψ is an immersion (Lemma 5.16) its restriction to some open neighborhood W of $[e,x]$ in $G \times^{G^{\beta+}} S^{\beta+}$ is injective. We may assume that $\lim_{n\to\infty} v_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} w_n = [e,x]$ (Proposition 5.17). This contradicts injectivity of $\Psi|W$.

Since every G-orbit in S_β intersects each neighborhood of a point of $\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\beta)\cap X^\beta$ (Corollary 5.14) and since Ψ is G-equivariant, every G-orbit in S_β intersects an open subset Ω of X non trivially such that $\Psi|\Psi^{-1}(S_\beta\cap\Omega)$ is injective. Hence Ψ is injective. □

Proof of the Slice Theorem for Pre-Strata 5.3. We first show (1). It follows from Proposition 4.3 that $S^{\beta+}$ is a locally closed submanifold of X. Let $x\in\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\beta)\cap X^\beta$ and choose an open neighborhood W_x of $[e,x]\in G\times^{G^{\beta+}}S^{\beta+}$ such that $\Psi(W)$ is a submanifold of X (Lemma 5.16). Then there is an open neighborhood Ω_x of x in X such that $\Omega_x\cap S_\beta\subset\Psi(W)$ since otherwise we could use Proposition 5.17 to arrive at a contradiction. The open sets $g\cdot\Omega_x$ cover S_β (Corollary 5.14) and the open sets $g\cdot W_x$ cover $G\times^{G^{\beta+}}S^{\beta+}$ where $g\in G$, $x\in\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\beta)\cap X^\beta$. Since $g\cdot\Omega_x\cap S_\beta\subset\Psi(g\cdot W_x)=g\cdot\Psi(W_x)$ we have (1).

Part (2) follows from (1), Lemma 5.16 and Corollary 5.18. Lemma 5.15 and (2) imply (3). □

Proof of the Quotient Theorem for Pre-Strata 5.4. Recall that the topological Hilbert quotients $S^{\beta+}/\!\!/G^\beta$ and $S^{\beta+}/\!\!/G^{\beta+}$ exist and coincide. The Slice Theorem for Pre-Strata implies that $S_\beta/\!\!/G$ exists and that the inclusion $S^{\beta+}\subset S_\beta$ induces an isomorphism $S^{\beta+}/\!\!/G^{\beta+}\cong S_\beta/\!\!/G$.

By Proposition 5.11 every fiber of the quotient map $\pi_{S^{\beta+}}: S^{\beta+}\to S^{\beta+}/\!\!/G^{\beta+}$ contains a unique closed $G^{\beta+}$-orbit $G^{\beta+}\cdot x$ and every other orbit in that fiber has strictly larger dimension. Assertion (1) then follows from the Slice Theorem for Pre-Strata.

Assertion (2) follows from the definition of the topological Hilbert quotient and (1).

Let $q\in S_\beta/\!\!/G$ and set $F_q:=(\pi_{S_\beta})^{-1}(q)$. Then $F_q\cap S^{\beta+}$ is a fiber of $\pi_{S^{\beta+}}$ and intersects $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta)$ in a unique K^β-orbit $K^\beta\cdot x$ where $x\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta)\cap X^\beta$ and $G^\beta\cdot x$ is the unique closed orbit in $F_q\cap S^{\beta+}$. Hence $G\cdot x$ is the unique closed orbit in F_q and $K\cdot x$ is the intersection of F_q with $\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(K\cdot\beta)$ and we have (3).

The assertion in (4) follows from (1), (3) and Theorem 3.2. □

Proof of the Pre-Stratification Theorem 5.5. Let $y\in\overline{S_\beta}\cap S_{\tilde\beta}$. Then $\overline{G\cdot y}$ contains a point $x\in\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\tilde\beta)$ (Corollary 5.14 applied to $\tilde\beta$) and is contained in $\overline{S_\beta}$. This implies that $\|\tilde\beta\|\geq\|\beta\|$ and equality holds if and only if $K\cdot\tilde\beta=K\cdot\beta$ (Proposition 5.13). Hence we must have $\|\tilde\beta\|>\|\beta\|$, proving (1).

We now show (2). Assume that (a) holds and choose $k\in K$ such that $\tilde\beta=k\cdot\beta$. Then $S^{\tilde\beta+}=k\cdot S^{\beta+}$ implies that $S_{\tilde\beta}=S_\beta$. Hence (a) implies (b). Obviously (b) implies (c). Assume (c) and let $z\in S_\beta\cap S_{\tilde\beta}$. If (a) fails, then (1) shows that $\|\tilde\beta\|>\|\beta\|$ and that $\|\beta\|>\|\tilde\beta\|$, a contradiction. □

6. Consequences and special cases

In this section we point out special cases and several consequences of the results obtained in the previous section.

First note that for $\beta = 0$ the pre-stratum S_β coincides with $S^{\beta+}$ and is the set of semistable points $\mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p})$. The Pre-Strata Slice Theorem 5.3 is trivial in this case and the Quotient Theorem 5.4 is just Theorem 3.2. If $G = G^\beta$, in particular if G is commutative, then $S_\beta = S^{\beta+}$ coincides with the set of semistable points $\mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\beta))(X^{\beta+}_{\|\beta\|^2})$.

For Morse theoretical considerations the following should be noted.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_\mathfrak{p}$. Then $\mathcal{C}_\mathfrak{p} \cap S_\beta = \mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(K \cdot \beta) \cap S_\beta$.*

Proof. We have $\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(K \cdot \beta) \cap S_\beta = K \cdot (\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\beta) \cap X^\beta) \subset \mathcal{C}_\mathfrak{p}$ by Corollary 2.4. Conversely, if $x \in \mathcal{C}_\mathfrak{p} \cap S_\beta$, let $\tilde{\beta} := \mu_\mathfrak{p}(x)$. Then $x \in \mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\tilde{\beta}) \cap X^{\tilde{\beta}} \subset S_{\tilde{\beta}}$. Since $x \in S_\beta \cap S_{\tilde{\beta}}$, we have $K \cdot \beta = K \cdot \tilde{\beta}$, and hence $x \in \mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(K \cdot \beta)$. ☐

Corollary 6.2. *If $X = \mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p})$, then $x \in X$ is a critical point of $\eta_\mathfrak{p}$ if and only if $\eta_\mathfrak{p}(x) = 0$, i.e., the critical set of $\eta_\mathfrak{p}$ coincides with $\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}$.*

Fix $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_\mathfrak{p}$. The first two theorems in Section 5 contain precise information about the structure of the G-action on S_β and the quotient map $\pi_{S_\beta} \colon S_\beta \to S_\beta /\!\!/ G$. We now wish to apply the Slice Theorem for the action of G^β on $S^{\beta+}$. To simplify notation, let us assume that $X = S_\beta$ and let Y denote $S^{\beta+}$. We have an artificial $G^{\beta+}$-action on Y^β defined by $(g, y) \mapsto g \bullet y := \pi^{\beta+}(g) \cdot y$, $y \in Y^\beta$, $g \in G^{\beta+}$. The mapping $p^{\beta+} \colon Y \to Y^\beta$ is equivariant with respect to the given action on Y and the artificial action on Y^β. Here we use the notation introduced in Section 4. Note that the $G^{\beta+}$-isotropy group at $y \in Y^\beta$ is the semi-direct product $H_y := (G^\beta)_y \ltimes R^{\beta+}$. Applying the Slice Theorem we obtain the following.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $x \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta) \cap X^\beta \subset Y^\beta$. Then there is a $(G^\beta)_x$-stable submanifold Y_0 of Y^β containing x such that $G^\beta \cdot Y_0 = G^{\beta+} \bullet Y_0$ is open in Y^β and such that the natural map $G^{\beta+} \times^{H_x} Y_0 \to G^{\beta+} \bullet Y_0$ is an isomorphism. Let $Y_1 := (p^{\beta+})^{-1}(Y_0)$. Then Y_1 is an H_x-stable submanifold of Y,*

$$G^{\beta+} \times^{H_x} Y_1 \to G^{\beta+} \cdot Y_1$$

is an isomorphism and $G^{\beta+} \cdot Y_1$ is open in Y. Moreover, $G \cdot Y_1$ is open in X and

$$G \times^{G^{\beta+}} (G^{\beta+} \times^{H_x} Y_1) \to G \cdot Y_1$$

is a G-equivariant isomorphism.

Remark 6.4. The entire construction can be carried out in a manner compatible with the various Hilbert quotients associated to the G-action on X. That is, we can arrange that $G^\beta \cdot Y_0$ is saturated with respect to the quotient map $Y^\beta \to Y^\beta /\!\!/ G^\beta$ and that $G^\beta \cdot Y_1$ is saturated with respect to $Y \to Y /\!\!/ G^\beta$, etc.

Remark 6.5. The fiber F of $\pi_{G^\beta}\colon Y \to Y/\!\!/G^\beta$ through $x \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta) \cap Y$ is G^β-isomorphic to $G^\beta \times^{(G^\beta)_x} F_x$ where F_x is a closed $(G^\beta)_x$-stable subset of the $(G^\beta)_x$-stable subspace $W^{\beta+}$ (Section 4) of $T_x(X)$. In this identification every $(G^\beta)_x$-orbit in F_x contains $0 \in W^{\beta+}$ in its closure. Since F is also a fiber of $\pi_{G^{\beta+}}$, the $(G^\beta)_x$-space F_x is equipped with a topological action of $H_x = (G^\beta)_x \ltimes R^{\beta+}$.

Before we discuss open pre-strata for general X we need to compute the Hessian of $\eta_\mathfrak{p}$ at critical points.

Proposition 6.6. *Let $x \in \mathcal{C}_\mathfrak{p}$ be a critical point of $\eta_\mathfrak{p}\colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ and let S_β be the associated pre-stratum. Let $H_x(\eta_\mathfrak{p})$ denote the Hessian of $\eta_\mathfrak{p}$ at x. Then*

1. $H_x(\eta_\mathfrak{p}) \geq 0$ *on* $T_x(S_\beta) = \mathfrak{g}\cdot x + T_x(S^{\beta+}) = \mathfrak{k}\cdot x + T_x(S^{\beta+})$ *and*
2. $H_x(\eta_\mathfrak{p}) < 0$ *on* $T_x(S_\beta)^\perp = (\mathfrak{g}\cdot x)^\perp \cap T_x(S^{\beta+})^\perp = (\mathfrak{k}\cdot x)^\perp \cap T_x(S^{\beta+})^\perp$.

Proof. Since $(\mathfrak{g}\cdot x)^\perp \subset (\mathfrak{p}\cdot x)^\perp = \ker d\mu_\mathfrak{p}(x)$ this follows from Proposition 2.5 and Proposition 4.3 (3). ☐

Corollary 6.7. *If $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_\mathfrak{p}$ is such that $\|\beta\|^2$ is a minimum value of $2\eta_\mathfrak{p}$, then the corresponding pre-stratum S_β is open in X and coincides with $\mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\beta))$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{C}_\mathfrak{p}$ such that $\mu_\mathfrak{p}(x) = \beta$. By Proposition 6.6, S_β is open in X. It contains $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta) \cap X^\beta = \mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\beta) \cap X^\beta = \mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\beta)$ since $\|\beta\|^2$ is a minimum. But $\mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\beta))$ is the smallest G-stable open set containing $\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\beta)$, so that $\mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\beta)) \subset S_\beta$. On the other hand,

$$S_\beta = G \cdot S_{G^\beta}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta) \cap X^\beta)(X^{\beta+}) \subset S_G(\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\beta)).$$

☐

The argument in the proof shows the following more general result.

Corollary 6.8. *Let x be a local minimum of $\eta_\mathfrak{p}$. Then $x \in \mathcal{C}_\mathfrak{p}$ and the union of the connected components of the corresponding stratum S_β which intersect $K\cdot x$ non-trivially is an open subset of X.*

Corollary 6.9. *Suppose that $X = G\cdot x$ where $x \in \mathcal{C}_\mathfrak{p}$ and $\beta := \mu_\mathfrak{p}(x)$. Then*

1. $G^{\beta+}\cdot x = S^{\beta+}$.
2. $\mathcal{S}_{G^\beta}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta))(X^\beta) = G^\beta \cdot x$.
3. $K^\beta \cdot x = \mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\beta)$.
4. $\mathcal{C}_\mathfrak{p} = K\cdot x$.

Proof. In the homogeneous case the Slice Theorem for Pre-Strata gives us a G-equivariant isomorphism $G \times^{G^{\beta+}} S^{\beta+} \to G\cdot x$ and therefore a G-equivariant map $p\colon G\cdot x \to G/G^{\beta+}$ with $G^{\beta+}\cdot x = p^{-1}(G^{\beta+}) = S^{\beta+}$. This shows (1).

Let $z = q\cdot x \in S^{\beta+}$ where $q \in G^{\beta+}$. Then $\lim_{t\to-\infty}\exp(t\beta)\cdot q\cdot x = h\cdot x$ where $h = \lim_{t\to-\infty}\exp(t\beta)q\exp(-t\beta) \in G^\beta$. Hence we have (2).

From (2) we obtain that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta) \cap X^\beta = K^\beta \cdot x$. This implies (3). Now (3) gives $\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(K\cdot\beta) = K\cdot x$ and (4) follows from Lemma 6.1. ☐

Corollary 6.10. *Let* $x \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}$*. Then* x *is a global minimum of* $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}|_{G\cdot x}$*.*

Corollary 6.11. *If* $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}|G \cdot x$ *has a local maximum at* x*, then* $G \cdot x = K \cdot x$*.*

Proof. If x is a local maximum of $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then it is a critical point of $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}$. But every critical point of $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ on $G\cdot x$ is a global minimum. This implies that the set of critical points, which is a K-orbit, is open in $G\cdot x$. Since K intersects every component of G, we have $K \cdot x = G \cdot x$. $\square$

Corollary 6.12. *If a* G*-orbit* $G \cdot x$ *in* X *is compact then* $G \cdot x = K \cdot x$*.*

Corollary 6.11 may be viewed as a special case of a maximal pre-stratum S_β. By this we mean that $\|\beta\| \geq \|\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(z)\|$ holds for every $z \in X$. In this case $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}|S_\beta$ is constant and $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ maps S_β equivariantly onto $K \cdot \beta$. This implies that every G-orbit in S_β is a K-orbit, hence closed. More precisely, we have $S_\beta = \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K\cdot\beta) \cap S_\beta$ and $S^{\beta+} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta) \cap S^{\beta+} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta) \cap X^\beta$. Note that this implies that $S^{\beta+}$ coincides with a union of connected components of X^β. Also since $S_\beta \cong K \times^{K^\beta} (\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}^\beta}(\beta) \cap X^\beta)$ we have that S_β is compact if X^β is compact or $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a proper map.

As an easy application of these considerations we give a proof of an old result of Wolf ([Wo69]). Let $X = Z$ be U-homogeneous, i.e, a generalized flag manifold, and assume that G is a real form of $U^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Corollary 6.13. *There is exactly one closed* G*-orbit in* Z*.*

Proof. We have a G-gradient map $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}} \colon Z \to \mathfrak{p}$ associated with a moment map $\mu\colon Z \to \mathfrak{u}^*$. Let $\mu_{\mathfrak{k}}$ denote the K-gradient map. We may assume that the decomposition $\mathfrak{u}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus i\mathfrak{k} \oplus i\mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ is orthogonal with respect to a U-invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{u}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Since Z is compact the above discussion shows that there is a G-orbit in Z which coincides with a K-orbit. We have to show that it is unique.

Let $y \in Z$ and assume that $G \cdot y$ is closed in Z. We have $G \cdot y = K \cdot y$. Note that $\mathfrak{g} \cdot y = \mathfrak{k} \cdot y + \mathfrak{p} \cdot y = \mathfrak{k} \cdot y$ implies that $(i\mathfrak{p}) \cdot y \subset (i\mathfrak{k}) \cdot y$ and $T_y(Z) = \mathfrak{u} \cdot y + (i\mathfrak{p}) \cdot y$ gives that $\mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot y = T_y(Z)$. Hence $K^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot y$ is open in Z. Since $K^{\mathbb{C}}$ is complex and acts holomorphically on Z there is only one such open orbit and consequently any closed G-orbit $G \cdot x = K \cdot x$ is contained in $K^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot y$. Since Z is U-homogeneous the norm of a U-equivariant moment map $\mu\colon Z \to \mathfrak{u}^*$ is constant. Now $\|\mu\|^2 = \|\mu_k\|^2 + \|\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}\|^2$ implies that $x \in Z$ is a critical point of $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ if and only if it is a critical point of $\eta_{\mathfrak{k}}$ where $\eta_{\mathfrak{k}} = \frac{1}{2}\|\mu_{\mathfrak{k}}\|^2$. But the critical points of $\eta_{\mathfrak{k}}$ in $K^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot y$ are a K-orbit. Hence $K \cdot y = K \cdot x$ and we have uniqueness. $\square$

7. Proper moment maps

In this section we consider what happens in the case that $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}} \colon X \to \mathfrak{p}$ is a proper map. In this case $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}} \colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ is a proper non-negative function, i.e., an exhaustion of X. Note that properness of $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ implies that X is a closed G-stable submanifold of Z.

Let $(t,x) \mapsto \varphi_t(x)$ denote the local flow of the vector field $\operatorname{grad} \eta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ on X. Properness of $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ implies that φ_t exists for all negative t. By Lemma 2.3, the flow φ_t is along G-orbits. Note that $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}(\varphi_t(x))$ is strictly increasing unless x is a critical point of $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}$. For $z \in X$ let $\mathcal{L}(z)$ denote the set of points $y \in X$ such that $y = \lim_{k\to\infty} \varphi_{t_k}(z)$ for some sequence t_k which goes to $-\infty$. Since we assume $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ to be proper we have the following.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $z \in X$. Then $\mathcal{L}(z)$ is non empty and for every open neighborhood V of $\mathcal{L}(z)$ there exists a $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi_t(z) \in V$ for every $t < t_0$.*

Proof. Let $I_V := \{t \in \mathbb{R} : t \le 0 \text{ and } \varphi_t(z) \in X \setminus V\}$. Since V contains $\mathcal{L}(z)$ the properness of $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ implies that I_V is compact. For $t_0 := \min\{t \in I_V\}$ we have $\varphi_t(z) \in V$ for all $t < t_0$. □

Corollary 7.2. *For every $z \in X$ the function $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is constant on $\mathcal{L}(z)$ and the set $\mathcal{L}(z)$ is connected.*

For $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K\cdot\beta)$ denote $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K\cdot\beta)$ and set $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\|\beta\|) = \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap \eta_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}\|\beta\|^2)$. If we set $\beta := \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)$ for some $x \in \mathcal{L}(z)$, then $x \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K\cdot\beta) = \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K\cdot\beta) \cap S_\beta \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K\cdot\beta) \cap S_\beta$. Since $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is constant on $\mathcal{L}(z)$ we have the following.

Lemma 7.3. *Let $z \in X$, $x \in \mathcal{L}(z)$ and $\beta = \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{L}(z) \subset \bigcup_{\|\tilde\beta\| = \|\beta\|} \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K\cdot\tilde\beta) = \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\|\beta\|).$$

Remark 7.4. In the case that $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is real analytic, the map $\varphi\colon (-\infty,0]\times S_\beta \to S_\beta$, $(t,x) \mapsto \varphi_t(x)$ extends to a continuous map $\varphi\colon [-\infty,0]\times S_\beta \to S_\beta$. Then φ is a K-equivariant strong deformation retraction of S_β onto $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K\cdot\beta)\cap S_\beta$ which stabilizes closures of G-orbits. In particular, the set $\mathcal{L}(z)$ consists of one point. The proof is analogous to the argument in [Ne85] (see [Sch89]) and uses an inequality of Łojasiewicz.

If $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is not assumed to be proper, one can prove that φ realizes $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as a strong deformation retract of a neighborhood of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in $S_0 = \mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Here the same method applies since in [HSt05] it is shown that there exist relatively compact neighborhoods of points in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ which have the property that they contain $\varphi_t(z)$ for all $t < t_0$ if they contain $\varphi_{t_0}(z)$.

If G is complex reductive one can use the existence of normal forms for ω and μ in order to show the existence of a strong deformation retraction without the assumption that $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is analytic.

We need the following technical result whose proof we give at the end of this section.

Proposition 7.5. *Let $z \in X$ and choose $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that $\mathcal{L}(z)\cap\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K\cdot\beta) \neq \emptyset$. Then there is an open neighborhood Ω of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K\cdot\beta)$ and a smooth function $\rho\colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ such that*

(1) $\rho \geq 0$,
(2) $\Omega \cap S_\beta = \{z \in \Omega : \rho(z) = 0\}$ *and*
(3) $d\rho(\operatorname{grad}(\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}))(z) \leq 0$ *for all* $z \in \Omega$.

The proposition has the following consequence for the flow φ_t.

Theorem 7.6 (Stratification Theorem)**.** *If* $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}} \colon X \to \mathfrak{p}$ *is proper, then* $X = \cup_\beta S_\beta$ *where* β *runs through a complete set of representatives of* K*-orbits in* $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$*. The union is disjoint, each* S_β *is a locally closed submanifold of* X *and*

$$\overline{S_\beta} \subset S_\beta \cup \{S_\gamma \colon \|\gamma\| > \|\beta\|\}.$$

Proof. Let $z \in X$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that $\mathcal{L}(z) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K \cdot \beta) \neq \emptyset$. Choose an open neighborhood Ω of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K \cdot \beta)$ which has the properties given in Proposition 7.5.

Let Ω_0 be an open neighborhood of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K \cdot \beta)$ which is relatively compact in Ω and let $Q := \Omega_0 \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\|\beta\|)$. Since $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\|\beta\|) \setminus Q$ is compact and $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\|\beta\|) \setminus Q) \cap \overline{S_\beta} = \emptyset$ there is an open relatively compact neighborhood V' of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\|\beta\|) \setminus Q$ in X such that $\overline{V'} \cap \overline{S_\beta} = \emptyset$. Then the set $V := V' \cup \Omega_0$ is an open neighborhood of $\mathcal{L}(z) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\|\beta\|)$. Let $r := \min\{\rho(y) : y \in \overline{V'} \cap \overline{\Omega_0}\}$. Since $\overline{V'} \cap S_\beta = \emptyset$ we have $\rho(y) > 0$ on the compact set $\overline{V'} \cap \overline{\Omega_0}$ and therefore $r > 0$. The set $\Omega_1 := \{y \in \Omega_0 : \rho(y) < r\}$ is an open neighborhood of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K \cdot \beta)$ such that $\overline{\Omega_1} \cap V \subset \Omega$.

Since $\mathcal{L}(z) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K \cdot \beta) \neq \emptyset$ there exists a t_0 with $\varphi_{t_0}(z) \in \Omega_1$. By Lemma 7.1 we may assume that $\varphi_t(z) \in V$ for all $t \leq t_0$. We claim that $\varphi_t(z) \in \Omega_1$ for all $t \leq t_0$. It suffices to show that $I_{\Omega_1} := \{t \in \mathbb{R} : t \leq t_0,\ \varphi_t(z) \in \Omega_1\}$ is connected since it is not bounded from below. If this would not be the case then we would find a connected component $I_1 = (a_1, t_1) = \{t \in I_{\Omega_1} : a_1 < t < t_1\}$ of I_{Ω_1} where a_1 is possibly $-\infty$ and $t_1 < t_0$. Then $\varphi_{t_1}(z) \in V \cap (\overline{\Omega_1} \setminus \Omega_1)$, i.e., $\rho(\varphi_{t_1}(z)) = r$, and $\rho(\varphi_t(z)) < r$ for all $t \in I_1$. This contradicts $a_1 < t_1 < t_0$, since $t \mapsto \rho(\varphi_{-t}(z))$ is defined and increasing for all t in a sufficiently small open interval which contains t_1.

Now assume that $z \notin S_\beta$. Since $\rho(\varphi_t(z)) > 0$ for all $t < t_0$ and $t \mapsto \rho(\varphi_{-t}(z))$ is increasing there is no $x \in \mathcal{L}(z) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K \cdot \beta) \subset S_\beta$ with $\rho(x) = 0$. This contradiction proves that $z \in S_\beta$ and shows that $X = \bigcup_\beta S_\beta$. The union is disjoint and we also have $\overline{S_\beta} \subset S_\beta \cup \{S_\gamma \colon \|\gamma\| > \|\beta\|\}$ by the Pre-Stratification Theorem 5.5. □

In the proof we have seen that $z \in S_\beta$ if $\mathcal{L}(z) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K \cdot \beta) \neq \emptyset$. With Lemma 7.3 we obtain

Corollary 7.7. *Let* $z \in S_\beta$*. Then* $\mathcal{L}(z) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K \cdot \beta)$*.*

We have the following characterization of S_β.

Corollary 7.8. *Let* $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ *be proper and* $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$*. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} S_\beta &= \{z \in X \colon \beta \in \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{G \cdot z}) \text{ and } \|\beta\| \leq \|\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(g \cdot z)\| \text{ for all } g \in G\} \\ &= \{z \in X \colon \mathcal{L}(z) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K \cdot \beta) \neq \emptyset\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 7.9. In general we have $S_\beta \subset \{z \in X \colon \beta \in \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{G \cdot z})$ and $\|\beta\| \leq \|\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(g \cdot z)\|$ for all $g \in G\}$.

We now work towards a proof of Proposition 7.5.

As in Section 2, if x is a zero of a vector field ζ on X, then $d\zeta(x)$ denotes the corresponding endomorphism of $T_x(X)$.

Lemma 7.10. *For $x \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\beta := \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)$ we have*

1. $d \operatorname{grad} \eta_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \cdot v = (d\beta_X(x)) \cdot v + (d\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \cdot v)_X(x)$ *for all $v \in T_x(X)$ and*
2. *the linear map $L \colon T_x(X) \to T_x(X)$, $v \mapsto (d\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \cdot v)_X(x)$ maps $T_x(S_\beta)$ into itself and is zero on $T_x(S_\beta)^\perp$.*

Proof. Let γ be a smooth curve through $x \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that $\gamma(0) = x$ and set $v := \frac{d}{dt}|_0 \gamma(t)$. We have $\operatorname{grad} \eta_{\mathfrak{p}}(\gamma(t)) = \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\gamma(t))_X(\gamma(t)) = \operatorname{grad} \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\gamma(t))}(\gamma(t))$ and $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\gamma(t)) = \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) + t \cdot d\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \cdot v + t^2 R(t)$ for a continuous map $R(t)$. Since $\operatorname{grad} \eta_{\mathfrak{p}}(\gamma(t)) = \operatorname{grad} \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)}(\gamma(t)) + t \operatorname{grad} \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^{d\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \cdot v}(\gamma(t)) + t^2 \operatorname{grad} \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}^{R(t)}(\gamma(t))$ we have $d \operatorname{grad} \eta_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \cdot v = d\beta_X(x) \cdot v + (d\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \cdot v)_X(x)$ proving (1). We also have (2), since $\mathfrak{g} \cdot x \subset T_x(S_\beta)$ and $\ker d\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) = (\mathfrak{p} \cdot x)^\perp$. ☐

Proof of Proposition 7.5 . Let Ω_0 be an open neighborhood of S_β in X which is diffeomorphic to the normal bundle $T(S_\beta)^\perp | S_\beta$ of S_β in X such that S_β corresponds to the zero section. Using this identification we define $\rho \colon \Omega_0 \to \mathbb{R}$, $\rho(v) = (v, v)_{\pi(v)}$ where $\pi \colon T(S_\beta)^\perp | S_\beta \to S_\beta$ denotes the canonical projection and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\pi(v)}$ denotes the inner product on $T_{\pi(v)}(X)$. Then we have $\rho \geq 0$ and $\Omega_0 \cap S_\beta = \{y \in \Omega_0 : \rho(y) = 0\}$.

For $x_0 \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K \cdot \beta)$ there exists an open neighborhood U_0 of x_0 in Ω_0 which can be identified with $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$ where x_0 corresponds to 0, S_β corresponds to $\mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\}$ and the normal space $T_x(S_\beta)^\perp$ corresponds to $\{x\} \times \mathbb{R}^m$ for $x \in U_0 \cap S_\beta$. Furthermore, this identification can be chosen such that ρ has the form $\rho(v_1, v_2) = \|v_2\|^2$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$.

Expressing the content of Lemma 7.10 in these coordinates we obtain

$$\operatorname{grad} \eta_{\mathfrak{p}}(v_1, v_2) = d\beta_X(0)(v_1, v_2) + L(v_1) + \mathrm{O}(\|v_1\|^2 + \|v_2\|^2)$$

where L is a linear mapping from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Since $d\beta_X(0)$ has only negative eigenvalues on $\mathbb{R}^m$, the quadratic form $v_2 \mapsto (v_2, d\beta_X(0) \cdot v_2)$ is strictly negative definite. Furthermore, $d\beta_X(0)$ preserves $T_0(S_\beta)$ and $T_0(S_\beta)^\perp$, so we have

$$d\rho(\operatorname{grad} \eta_{\mathfrak{p}})(v_1, v_2) = (v_2, d\beta_X(0) \cdot v_2) + \mathrm{O}(\|v_1\|^3 + \|v_2\|^3).$$

Thus after possibly shrinking U_0 we can find a $c < 0$ such that
$d\rho(\operatorname{grad} \eta_{\mathfrak{p}})(v_1, v_2) \leq c \cdot \rho(v_1, v_2)$ for $(v_1, v_2) \in U_0$.

Since $x_0 \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K \cdot \beta)$ was arbitrary we find a neighborhood $\Omega \subset \Omega_0$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K \cdot \beta)$ in X such that $d\rho(\operatorname{grad} \eta_{\mathfrak{p}})(z) \leq 0$ if $z \in \Omega$. ☐

8. Compact manifolds

In this section we show that for a compact G-stable submanifold X of Z there are only finitely many pre-strata. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a maximal subalgebra of $\mathfrak{p}$ and $A = \exp \mathfrak{a}$ the corresponding subgroup of G. Note that A is compatible with the Cartan decomposition of $U^{\mathbb{C}}$. Since the corresponding A-gradient map $\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is locally constant on the smooth compact manifold X^A we find that $\mathcal{V} := \mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(X^A)$ consists of finitely many points.

In [HSt05] the following is established.

Lemma 8.1. *For $x \in X$ the image $\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(A \cdot x)$ is an open convex subset of the affine subspace $\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(x) + \mathfrak{a}_x^{\perp}$ of $\mathfrak{a}$ where $\mathfrak{a}_x^{\perp} := \{\beta \in \mathfrak{a};\ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}_x\}$ denotes the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{a}_x$ in $\mathfrak{a}$.*

Proposition 8.2. *Let $C \subset X$ be compact and A-invariant. If the image $\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(C)$ is convex, then it is the convex hull of a subset of $\mathcal{V}$. In particular, it is a polytope.*

Proof. Since $\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(C)$ is compact and convex it is the convex hull of the set of its extreme points. Let β be an extreme point and let $x \in C$ with $\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(x) = \beta$. Since C contains $A \cdot x$, Lemma 8.1 implies that β lies in an open subset of $\beta + \mathfrak{a}_x^{\perp}$ which is contained in $\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(C)$. But β is an extreme point so $\mathfrak{a}_x^{\perp} = \{0\}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{a}_x = \mathfrak{a}$ and consequently $x \in X^A$. □

Corollary 8.3. *Let X be compact and $x \in X$. Then the image $\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(\overline{A \cdot x})$ is the convex hull of a subset of $\mathcal{V}$.*

Corollary 8.4. *Let X be compact and $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap \mathfrak{a}$. Then β is the closest point to zero in the convex hull of finitely many elements of $\mathcal{V}$. In particular, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap \mathfrak{a}$ is a finite set and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ consists of finitely many K-orbits.*

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) = \beta$. Note that x is also a critical point for $\eta_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Applying Proposition 5.13 to the group A and $Y_\beta := \overline{A \cdot x}$, we obtain that $\|\beta\| \leq \|\zeta\|$ for all $\zeta \in \mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(Y_\beta)$. Since $\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(Y_\beta)$ is the convex hull of images of A-fixed points, β is the closest point to the origin of a subset of $\mathcal{V}$. □

Corollary 8.5. *If X is compact, then there are only finitely many pre-strata.*

In the case where X is compact we call a pre-stratum S_β a stratum.

9. Morse inequalities

Let G be an arbitrary Lie group and Y a topological G-space. The G-equivariant cohomology $H^n_G(Y)$ of Y is by definition the ordinary cohomology $H^n(\mathrm{EG} \times_G Y)$ where EG is the total space of a universal G-bundle $p\colon \mathrm{EG} \to \mathrm{BG}$ and $\mathrm{EG} \times_G Y$ is the quotient of $\mathrm{EG} \times Y$ by the diagonal action of G.

We define the G-equivariant Poincaré series to be the power series $P^G_t(Y) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n \dim H^n_G(Y)$ where cohomology is computed with respect to a field $\mathbb{K}$ which we omit in the notation. If $G = \{e\}$ is the trivial group, equivariant cohomology coincides with ordinary cohomology and we write $P_t(Y)$ for $P_t^G(Y)$.

Now assume that X is an orientable connected compact G-invariant submanifold of Z and that G is a closed connected compatible subgroup of $U^{\mathbb{C}}$. Consider the finite decomposition $X = \cup_\beta S_\beta$ (Theorem 7.6, Corollary 8.4). If $S_{\beta,m}$ denotes the union of the connected components of S_β which have codimension m in X, then $X = \cup_{\beta,m} S_{\beta,m}$ is again a decomposition of X with closures of strata having the properties analogous to those in Theorem 7.6. In the following, cohomology is computed with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$ if all the strata S_β are orientable submanifolds of X and with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2$ otherwise.

Standard considerations in equivariant topology show that we have the following.

(Morse inequalities) *There exists a series $R(t)$ with non-negative integer coefficients such that*

$$\sum_{\beta,m} t^m P_t^K(S_{\beta,m}) - P_t^K(X) = (1+t)R(t)$$

where β runs through a complete set of representatives of K-orbits in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Since K is a strong deformation retract of G and the strata are G-stable the Morse inequalities are also valid if one replaces K-equivariant cohomology by G-equivariant cohomology.

The following result of [Ki84] is useful for computing equivariant cohomology.

Let Z be compact and K compact and connected. Then $P_t^K(Z) = P_t(Z) \cdot P_t(\mathrm{BK})$ where BK is the base space of a universal K-bundle.

In [Ki84] it is shown that in the case where $X := Z$ is compact and $G = K^{\mathbb{C}}$ is complex reductive the Morse inequalities are equalities, i.e., $R(t) = 0$. Furthermore, the strata S_β are complex and therefore orientable in this case. In our general situation where G is not complex reductive, there may exist non-orientable strata.

Example 9.1. Let $X := Z := \mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be equipped with the standard action of $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ and with the moment map induced by the Fubini-Study metric. Then for $G = \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})$ there are two strata, namely $\mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$, which is not orientable, and its complement.

We end with an example which shows that, in our situation, the Morse inequalities are not necessarily equalities, even in the case that $X = Z$.

Example 9.2. Let $U^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ act on $X := Z := \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{C})$ by $g \cdot [z] := [gz]$. Then the moment map with respect to the Fubini-Study metric is given by $\mu^\xi([z]) = \frac{<\xi \cdot z, z>}{\mathrm{i} <z,z>}$ where $< \cdot, \cdot >$ is the standard Hermitian product on

$\mathbb{C}^2$. Let $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then $K = \mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R}) \cong S^1$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ is the set of real symmetric matrices of trace zero. One can show that $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{C})$ decomposes into two orientable strata, namely $\mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = G \cdot [1, \mathrm{i}] \cup G \cdot [1, -\mathrm{i}]$ and $S := G \cdot [1, 0] = K \cdot [1, 0] \cong S^1$.

For S^1, a universal bundle is given by $p\colon S^\infty \to \mathbb{P}_\infty(\mathbb{C})$ where $S^\infty := \lim_{n\to\infty} S^{2n+1}$, $\mathbb{P}_\infty(\mathbb{C}) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and p is induced by the projections $S^{2n+1} \to S^{2n+1}/S^1 \cong \mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$ where S^1 acts by multiplication on $S^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$.

We have

$$P_t^K(\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{C})) = P_t(\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{C})) \cdot P_t(\mathrm{BK}) = (1+t^2) \sum_{n \geq 0} t^{2n} = 1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} t^{2n}.$$

Since $[1, \mathrm{i}]$ (resp. $[1, -\mathrm{i}]$) can be realized K-equivariantly as a strong deformation retract of $G \cdot [1, \mathrm{i}]$ (resp. $G \cdot [1, -\mathrm{i}]$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_t^K(\mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}})) &= P_t^K(G \cdot [1, \mathrm{i}]) + P_t^K(G \cdot [1, -\mathrm{i}]) = 2 \cdot P_t(EK \times_K [1, \mathrm{i}]) \\ &= 2 \cdot P_t(BK) = 2 \cdot \sum_{n \geq 0} t^{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second stratum we get

$$P_t^K(S) = P_t(\mathrm{EK}) = 1.$$

Finally we get the Morse inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} t^0 \cdot P_t^K(\mathcal{S}_G(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{i}\mathfrak{p}})) + t^1 \cdot P_t^K(S) - P_t^K(\mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{C})) &= 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} t^{2n} + t - (1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} t^{2n}) \\ &= 1 + t. \end{aligned}$$

References

[BL02] R. Bremigan and J. Lorch, *Orbit duality for flag manifolds*, Manuscripta Math. **109** (2002), 233–261.

[Ch46] C. Chevalley, *Theory of Lie Groups*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1946.

[Fu78] A. Fujiki, *On automorphism groups of compact Kähler manifolds*, Invent. Math. **44** (1978), 225–258.

[HH96] P. Heinzner and A. Huckleberry, *Kählerian potentials and convexity properties of the moment map*, Invent. Math. **126** (1996), 65–84.

[HSch05] P. Heinzner and G. Schwarz, *Cartan decomposition of the moment map*, Math. Ann., DOI: 10.1007/s00208-006-0032-8, 36 pages.

[HSt05] P. Heinzner and H. Stötzel, *Semistable points with respect to real forms*, Math. Ann., DOI: 10.1007/s00208-006-0063-1, 9 pages.

[Ho65] G. Hochschild, *The structure of Lie groups*, Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1965.

[Ki84] F. Kirwan, *Cohomology of quotients in symplectic and algebraic geometry*, Mathematical Notes **31**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1984.

[Ma01] A. Marian, *On the real moment map*, Math. Res. Lett. 8 (2001), 779–788.

[Ma82] T. Matsuki, *Orbits on affine symmetric spaces under the action of parabolic subgroups*, Hiroshima Math. J. **12** (1982), 307–320.

[MUV92] I. Mirković, T. Uzawa and K. Vilonen, *Matsuki correspondence for sheaves*, Invent. Math. **109** (1992), 231–245.

[Ne85] A. Neeman, *The topology of quotient varieties*, Ann. of Math. **122** (1985), 419–459.

[Sch06] P. Schützdeller, *Convexity properties of moment maps of real forms acting on Kählerian manifolds*, Dissertation, Ruhr-Universität Bochum (2006).

[Sch89] G. Schwarz, *The topology of algebraic quotients*, Topological methods in algebraic transformation groups (New Brunswick, NJ, 1988), 135–151, Progr. Math., 80, Birkhäuser Boston, 1989.

[So75] A. J. Sommese, *Extension Theorems for Reductive Group Actions on Compact Kähler manifolds*, Math. Ann. **218** (1975), 107–116.

[Wo69] J. A. Wolf, *The action of a real semisimple group on a complex flag manifold. I. Orbit structure and holomorphic arc components*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1969), 1121–1237.

Fakultät für Mathematik, Ruhr Universität Bochum, Universitätsstrasse 150, D - 44780 Bochum
E-mail address: `heinzner@cplx.rub.de`

Department of Mathematics, Brandeis University, PO Box 549110, Waltham, MA 02454-9110
E-mail address: `schwarz@brandeis.edu`

Fakultät für Mathematik, Ruhr Universität Bochum, Universitätsstrasse 150, D - 44780 Bochum
E-mail address: `henrik.stoetzel@rub.de`